USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC#:

DATE FILED: 11/15/2024

Jason M. Drangel (JD 7204)
jdrangel@ipcounselors.com
Ashly E. Sands (AS 7715)
asands@ipcounselors.com
Danielle Futterman (DY 4228)
dfutterman@ipcounselors.com
Gabriela N. Nastasi
gnastasi@ipcounselors.com
Grace A. Rawlins
grawlins@ipcounselors.com
EPSTEIN DRANGEL LLP
60 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street, Suite 1250

New York, NY 10165

Telephone: (212) 292-5390 Facsimile: (212) 292-5391

Attorneys for Plaintiff RVRG Holdings LLC

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

RVRG HOLDINGS LLC,  Plaintiff	24-cv-7530 (ALC)
v. STARIT GROUP LIMITED,	PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION ORDER
Defendant	

## **GLOSSARY**

<u>Term</u>	<u>Definition</u>
Plaintiff or Rhude	RVRG Holdings LLC
Defendant	Defendant Starit Group Limited
Superbuy	The Superbuy.com online platform, owned and operated by Defendant, which aids consumers across the world and specifically consumers residing in the U.S., including New York, by locating, consolidating, marketing, promoting, offering for sale, selling, purchasing, warehousing and shipping goods from third-party China focused marketplace platforms
Shopify	Shopify Inc., a Canadian company with an address of 150 Elgin Street, 8 <sup>th</sup> Floor, Ottawa, ON K2P 1L4, Canada, and any and all affiliated companies, which operate a cloud-based e-commerce platform, available at www.shopify.com, that enables users to create online stores, manage their businesses, among other things
1688	1688, operating as 1688.com, is owned and operated by the Alibaba Group Holding Limited, is an online marketplace platform, which allows manufacturers, wholesalers and other third-party merchants to advertise offer for sale, sell, distribute and ship their wholesale and retail products in China
Taobao	Taobao, operating as Taobao.com, is owned and operated by the Alibaba Group Holding Limited, is an online marketplace platform, which allows manufacturers, wholesalers and other third-party merchants to advertise offer for sale, sell, distribute and ship their wholesale and retail products in China
Tmall	Tmall, operating as Tmall.com, is also owned and operated by the Alibaba Group Holding Limited, is an online marketplace platform, which allows manufacturers, wholesalers and other third-party merchants to advertise offer for sale, sell, distribute and ship their wholesale and retail products in China
China Focused Marketplace Platforms	1688, Taobao and Tmall

<b>Epstein Drangel</b>	Epstein Drangel LLP, counsel for Plaintiff
New York Address	60 East 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street, Suite 1250, New York, New York 10165
Complaint	Plaintiff's Complaint
•	-
Application	Plaintiff's <i>ex parte</i> Application for: 1) a temporary restraining order; 2) an order restraining Defendant's Assets (as defined <i>infra</i> ) with the Financial Institutions (as defined <i>infra</i> ); 3) an order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue; 4) an order authorizing alternative service and 5) an order authorizing expedited discovery
Dirocie Dec.	Declaration of Yira Dirocie in Support of Plaintiff's Application
Nastasi Dec.	Declaration of Gabriela N. Nastasi in Support of Plaintiff's Application
Rhude Products	A globally successful ready-to-wear brand of men's and women's apparel, accessories, and footwear
Rhude Marks	U.S. Trademark Registration Nos.: 5,827,712 for "RHUDE" for a variety of goods in Class 18; 5,827,706 for "RHUDE" for goods in Class 25; and 5,385,699 for "RHUDE" for a variety of goods in Class 25
Counterfeit	Products bearing or used in connection with the Rhude Marks,
Products	and/or products in packaging and/or containing labels bearing the Rhude Marks, and/or bearing or used in connection with marks that are confusingly similar to the Rhude Marks and/or products that are identical or confusingly similar to the Rhude Products
<b>Infringing Listings</b>	Defendant's listings for Counterfeit Products
Merchant	Any and all storefronts through which sellers on the China
Storefronts	Focused Marketplace Platforms to manufacture, import, export, advertise, market, promote, distribute, display, offer for sale, sell and/or otherwise deal in Counterfeit Products, which are promoted and/or advertised by Defendant, its respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them

Defendant's Assets	Any and all money, securities or other property or assets of Defendant (whether said assets are located in the U.S. or abroad)
Defendant's	Any and all financial accounts associated with or utilized by
Financial Accounts	Defendant (whether said account is located in the U.S. or abroad)
Financial	PayPal Inc. ("PayPal"), AUS Merchant Services, Inc. and Alipay
Institutions	Merchant Services Pte. Ltd. ("Alipay"), Stripe Payments Canada, Ltd. ("Stripe"), Visa Inc. ("Visa"), American Express Company ("American Express"), Mastercard Inc. ("Mastercard"), Discover Financial Services, Inc. ("Discover"), Google LLC payment services (e.g. Google Pay), Apple Inc. payment services (e.g. Apple Pay), JPMorgan Chase Bank, NA ("Chase"), Payoneer Inc. ("Payoneer"), PingPong Global Solutions Inc. ("PingPong"), Airwallex (Hong Kong) Limited ("Airwallex HK"), Airwallex (UK) Limited, Worldpay (HK) Limited ("Worldpay"), World First UK Ltd. ("World First"), Bank of China ("BOC"), Citibank N.A. ("Citibank"), Green Dot Bank, HSBC Holdings PLC ("HSBC"), DBS Bank (Hong Kong) Limited ("DBS") and/or any entities affiliated with those listed herein holding Defendant's Assets

WHERAS, Plaintiff moved *ex parte* on October 4, 2024 against Defendant for the following: 1) a temporary restraining order; 2) an order restraining Defendant's Assets with the Financial Institutions; 3) an order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue; 4) an order authorizing bifurcated and alternative service; and 5) an order authorizing expedited discovery;

WHEREAS, the Court entered an Order granting Plaintiff's Application on October 10, 2024 (the "TRO"), which ordered Defendant to appear on October 21, 2024 at 1:00 p.m. to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue (the "Show Cause Hearing");

WHEREAS, on October 15, 2024, the Court entered an Amended TRO, which, *inter alia*, rescheduled the Show Cause Hearing to November 4, 2024 at 1:00 p.m. ("Amended TRO");

WHEREAS, on October 23, 2024, the Court entered a Second Amended TRO ("Second Amended TRO");

WHEREAS, on October 24, 2024, pursuant to the alternative methods of service authorized by the TRO, Plaintiff served the Summons, Complaint, TRO, Amended TRO, Second Amended TRO and all papers filed in support of the Application on Defendant via Federal Express, which was successfully delivered on October 28, 2024.

#### PRELIMINARY FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. Rhude, founded in 2015 by self-taught designer Rhuigi Villaseñor, is a globally successful ready-to-wear brand and design venture evoking streetwear and luxury fashion. Rhude distributes the Rhude Products, including men's and women's apparel, accessories, and footwear, through various channels of trade in the United States and abroad.
- B. The Rhude Products are marketed under the Rhude Marks. The Rhude brand is recognized for its vintage nostalgia, American typography and iconography and visual

commentary on Los Angeles style and culture.

- C. The Rhude Products are sold direct to consumer via Rhude's official website, https://rh-ude.com, and distributed through multi-brand retailers including, but not limited to, Nordstrom, Bergdorf Goodman, Neiman Marcus, Saks Fifth Avenue and SSENSE.
- D. While RVRG has gained significant common law trademark and other rights in its Rhude Marks and Rhude Products through use, advertising and promotion, RVRG also protected its valuable rights by filing for and obtaining federal trademark registrations.
- E. For example, RVRG owns the following U.S. Trademark Registration Nos.: 5,827,712 for "RHUDE" for a variety of goods in Class 18; 5,827,706 for "RHUDE" for goods in Class 25; and 5,385,699 for "RHUDE" for a variety of goods in Class 25.
- F. Defendant is advertising, listing, promoting, purchasing, validating, reviewing, warehousing, packaging, shipping, exporting and/or otherwise facilitating the sale of Counterfeit Products through the Superbuy e-commerce platform.
- G. Defendant is not, nor have it ever been, an authorized distributor or licensee of the Rhude Products. Neither Plaintiff, nor any of Plaintiff's authorized agents, have consented to Defendant's use of the Rhude Marks, nor has Plaintiff consented to Defendant's use of marks that are confusingly similar to, identical to and constitute a counterfeiting or infringement of the Rhude Marks.
- H. The Rhude Marks are currently in use in commerce in connection with the Rhude Products in the United States.
- I. Defendant is advertising, listing, promoting, purchasing, validating, reviewing, warehousing, packaging, shipping, exporting and/or otherwise facilitating the sale of Counterfeit Products through the Superbuy e-commerce platform.

- J. Defendant is not, nor has it ever been, an authorized distributor or licensee of Plaintiff's Rhude Products. Neither Plaintiff, nor any of Plaintiff's authorized agents, have consented to Defendant's use of any of Plaintiff's Rhude Marks, nor have Plaintiff consented to Defendant's use of marks that are confusingly similar to, identical to and constitute a counterfeiting or infringement of any of Plaintiff's Rhude Marks.
- K. Plaintiff is likely to prevail on its Lanham Act and related common law claims at trial.
- L. As a result of Defendant's infringements, Plaintiff, as well as consumers, are likely to suffer immediate and irreparable losses, damages and injuries:
  - a. Defendant has offered for sale and sold substandard Counterfeit Products in the
     United States that infringe Plaintiff's Rhude Marks; and
  - b. Plaintiff has well-founded fears that more Counterfeit Products will appear in the marketplace; that consumers may be misled, confused and disappointed by the quality of these Counterfeit Products, resulting in injury to Plaintiff's reputation and goodwill; and that Plaintiff may suffer loss of sales for the Rhude Products.
- M. The balance of potential harm to Defendant of being prevented from continuing to profit from their illegal and infringing activities if a preliminary injunction order is issued is far outweighed by the potential harm to Plaintiff, its business, the goodwill and reputation built up in and associated with the Rhude Marks and to its reputation if the relief granted in the TRO is not continued through the pendency of this action.
- N. Public interest favors issuance of the preliminary injunction order in order to protect Plaintiff's interests in and to its Rhude Marks, and to protect the public from being deceived and defrauded by Defendant's passing off of their substandard Counterfeit Products as Rhude

Products.

#### **ORDER**

- Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief through the pendency of this litigation, and issuing
  this Order is warranted under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65 and Section 34 of the
  Lanham Act.
  - a) Accordingly, Defendant is hereby restrained and enjoined from engaging in any of the following acts or omissions through the pendency of this litigation:
    - i. advertising, listing, promoting, purchasing, validating, reviewing, warehousing, packaging, shipping, exporting and/or otherwise facilitating the sale of Counterfeit Products or any other products bearing Plaintiff's Rhude Marks and/or marks that are confusingly similar to, identical to and constitute a counterfeiting or infringement of Plaintiff's Rhude Marks;
    - ii. directly or indirectly infringing in any manner Plaintiff's Rhude Marks;
    - iii. using any reproduction, counterfeit, copy or colorable imitation of Plaintiff'sRhude Marks, to identify any goods or services not authorized by Plaintiff;
    - iv. using Plaintiff's Rhude Marks and/or any other marks that are confusingly similar to Plaintiff's Rhude Marks on or in connection with advertising, listing, promoting, purchasing, validating, reviewing, warehousing, packaging, shipping, exporting and/or otherwise facilitating the sale of Counterfeit Products;
    - v. using any false designation of origin or false description, or engaging in any action that is likely to cause confusion, cause mistake and/or to deceive members of the trade and/or the public as to the affiliation, connection or

association of any product advertised, listed, promoted, purchased, validated, reviewed, warehoused, packaged, shipped, exported and/or otherwise sold by Defendant with Plaintiff, and/or as to the origin, sponsorship or approval of any product manufactured, imported, exported, advertised, marketed, promoted, distributed, displayed, offered for sale or sold by Defendant and Defendant's commercial activities and Plaintiff;

- vi. secreting, concealing, destroying, altering, selling off, transferring or otherwise disposing of and/or dealing with: (i) Counterfeit Products and/or (ii) any computer files, data, business records, documents or any other records or evidence relating Defendant's Assets and the advertising, listing, promoting, purchasing, validating, reviewing, warehousing, packaging, shipping, exporting and/or otherwise facilitating the sale of Counterfeit Products;
- vii. knowingly instructing any other person or business entity to engage in any of the activities referred to in subparagraphs 1(a)(i) through 1(a)(vi) above, and 1(b)(i) below.
- b) Accordingly, Defendant and all persons in active concert and participation with Defendant who receive actual notice of this Order, including the Third Party Service Providers and Financial Institutions who satisfy those requirements and are identified in this Order are hereby restrained and enjoined from engaging in any of the following acts or omissions through the pendency of this litigation:
  - i. secreting, concealing, transferring, disposing of, withdrawing, encumbering or paying Defendant's Assets from or to Defendant's Financial Accounts until

further ordered by this Court.

- 2. As sufficient cause has been shown, the expedited discovery previously granted in the TRO shall remain in place through the pendency of this litigation, including that:
  - a) Plaintiff may serve interrogatories pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as well as Local Civil Rule 33.3 of the Local Rules for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and Defendant, served with this Order, shall provide written responses under oath to such interrogatories within fourteen (14) days of service to Plaintiff's counsel.
  - b) Plaintiff may serve requests for the production of documents pursuant to Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Defendant who is served with this Order and the requests for the production shall produce all documents responsive to such requests within fourteen (14) days of service to Plaintiff's counsel.
  - c) Within fourteen (14) days after receiving notice of this Order, all Financial Institutions who receive service of this Order shall provide Plaintiff's counsel with all documents and records in their possession, custody or control, relating to any and all of Defendant's Financial Accounts, including, but not limited to, documents and records relating to:
    - i. account numbers;
    - ii. current account balances;
    - iii. any and all account opening documents and records, including, but not limited to, account applications, signature cards, identification documents, and if a business entity, any and all business documents provided for the opening of

- each and every of Defendant's Financial Accounts;
- iv. any and all deposits and withdrawals during the previous year from each and every one of Defendant's Financial Accounts and any and all supporting documentation, including, but not limited to, deposit slips, withdrawal slips, cancelled checks and account statements; and
- v. any and all wire transfers into each and every of Defendant's Financial Accounts during the previous year, including, but not limited to, documents sufficient to show the identity of the destination of the transferred funds, the identity of the beneficiary's bank and the beneficiary's account number.
- 3. As sufficient cause has been shown, and pursuant to FRCP 4(f)(3), that service may be made on, and shall be deemed effective as to Defendant if it is completed via Federal Express at Defendant's registered office address, Flat/RM F 6/F, Mega Cube, No. 8, Wang Kwong Road, KL, Hong Kong.
- 4. As sufficient cause has been shown, such alternative service by electronic means ordered in the TRO and herein shall be deemed effective as to Defendant and Financial Institutions through the pendency of this action.
- 5. As sufficient cause has been shown, service of this Order shall be made on and deemed effective as to the Financial Institutions if it is completed by the following means:
- a) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where PayPal Inc. will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to PayPal Legal Specialist at EEOMALegalSpecialist@paypal.com;

- b) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (iii) a link to a secure website where AliPay will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail us\_ipr\_tro\_requests@alipay.com;
- c) delivery of (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where Stripe Payments Canada, Ltd. will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to notices@stripe.com;
- d) delivery of (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where Visa Inc. will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to businessconduct@visa.com;
- e) delivery of (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where American Express Company will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to amexsru@aexp.com;
- f) delivery of (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where Mastercard Inc. will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to ipinquiries@mastercard.com;
- g) delivery of (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where Discover Financial Services, Inc. will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to civilsubpoena@discover.com.
- h) delivery of (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where Apple,
  Inc. will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to
  lawenforcement@apple.com;

- i) delivery of (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where Google LLC will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to google-legal-support@google.com;
- j) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where JPMorgan Chase Bank, NA will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to maxwell.taylor@jpmchase.com;
- k) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where Payoneer Inc. will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to thirdpartyrequests@payoneer.com;
- l) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where PingPong Global Solutions Inc. will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to legal-int@pingpongx.com;
- m) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where Airwallex (Hong Kong) Limited and Airwallex (UK) Limited will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to kking@maglaw.com;
- n) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where Worldpay (HK) Limited will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to support@worldpay.com;
- o) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where World First UK Ltd. will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to complaints@worldfirst.com;

- p) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where Bank of China will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to service.at@bankofchina.com;
- q) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where Citibank N.A. will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to alyssa.mitchell@citi.com, renae.a.rodriguez@citi.com and lsi@citi.com;
- r) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where Green

  Dot Bank will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to

  IR@greendot.com;
- s) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where HSBC will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to legal.paper.processing@us.hsbc.com;
- t) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where Shopify will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to legal@shopify.com; and
- u) delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, or (ii) a link to a secure website where DBS will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to merchantservicing.hk@dbs.com, enquiry.hk@dbs.com and hkwarrants@dbs.com.
- 6. Defendant is hereby given notice that it may be deemed to have actual notice of the terms of this Order and any act by it in violation of this Order may be considered and prosecuted as in contempt of this Court.
- 7. The \$5,000.00 bond posted by Plaintiff shall remain with the Court until a final disposition of this case or until this Order is terminated.

- 8. This Order shall remain in effect during the pendency of this action, or until further order of the Court.
- 9. Defendant may appear and move to dissolve or modify the Order on two (2) days' notice to Plaintiff or on shorter notice as set by the Court.

### SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 15th day of November, 2024, at 4:30 p.m. New York, New York

HON. ANDREW L. CARTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Ansha 7 Cat 2